

Activity in Case 1:12-cv-00806-EGS MAGRITZ v. OZAUKEE COUNTY et al

Order

DCD_ECFNotice to: DCD_ECFNotice

09/14/2012 01:08 PM

From:

DCD_ECFNotice@dcd.uscourts.gov

To:

DCD_ECFNotice@dcd.uscourts.gov

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 9/14/2012 at 1:07 PM and filed on 9/14/2012

Case Name:

MAGRITZ v. OZAUKEE COUNTY et

0 - - - N - - - t - - - -

al

Case Number:

1:12-cv-00806-EGS

Filer:

WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 08/30/2012
Document Number: No document attached

Docket Text:

MINUTE ORDER. On August 30, 2012, the Court dismissed this case for the grounds stated in the Memorandum Opinion issued on that date. Plaintiff subsequently filed a document titled "Non-Acquiescence, Non-Consent, Non-Acceptance of Abnegation, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and 'Order' by Officers of This Court." ECF No. 33. The document attaches the Court's August 30, 2012 Memorandum Opinion and Order, on which plaintiff has handwritten "CANCELLED, Non-Acquiescence, Non-Consent, Non-Acceptance." Because plaintiff's filing seeks to challenge the validity of the Court's August 30, 2012 Memorandum Opinion and Order, the Court will treat it as a motion for reconsideration. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) allows a party to file a Motion for Reconsideration, but such motions are disfavored and relief from judgment is granted only when the moving party establishes extraordinary circumstances. Niedermeier v. Office of Baucus, 153 F. Supp. 2d 23, 28 (D.D.C. 2001) (citing Anyanwutaku v. Moore, 151 F.3d 1053, 1057 (D.C. Cir. 1998)). A motion for reconsideration is not a second opportunity to present argument upon which the court has already ruled. W.C. & A.N. Miller Cos. v. United States, 173 F.R.D. 1, 3 (D.D.C. 1997). A Rule 59(e) motion is discretionary and need not be granted unless the district court finds that there is an intervening change of controlling law, the availability of new evidence, or the need to correct a clear error or prevent manifest injustice. Firestone v. Firestone, 76 F.3d 1205, 1208 (D.C. Cir. 1996) (citation omitted). Plaintiff does not allege that there has been an intervening change of law or that new evidence has become available. Plaintiff alleges that there has been a "misapplication" of the Rooker-Feldman doctrine, but does not explain how that doctrine has been misapplied and cites no case





law in support of his argument. Plaintiff's other allegations are "little more than a rehash" of his previous arguments. See Black v. Tomlinson, 235 F.R.D. 532, 533 (D.D.C. 2006). Accordingly, [33] plaintiff's motion for Non-Acquiescence, Non-Consent, Non-Acceptance of Abnegation, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, and 'Order' by Officers of This Court is hereby DENIED. Signed by Judge Emmet G. Sullivan on September 14, 2012. (Icegs2)

1:12-cv-00806-EGS Notice has been electronically mailed to:

David Charles Rice ricedc@doj.state.wi.us

Deborah Brand Baum deborah.baum@pillsburylaw.com

1:12-cv-00806-EGS Notice will be delivered by other means to::

Andrew T Phillips PHILLIPS BOROWSKI, SC. 10140 North Port Washington Road Mequon, WI 53092

Christine K Van Berkum
PHILLIPS BOROWSKI, SC.
10140 North Port Washington Road
Mequon, WI 53092

STEVEN ALAN MAGRITZ c/o Kenneth A. Kraucunas, Notary Public P. O. Box 342443 Milwaukee, WI 53234

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 333 CONSTITUTION AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20001 CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. COURTHOUSE

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

STEVEN ALAN MAGRITZ c/o Kenneth A. Kraucunas, Notary Public P. O. Box 342443 Milwaukee, WI 53234





02 1A 0004634648 SEP 14 2012 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 20001

\$ 00.45° SEP14 2012

2000 00年500年00000

Clerk of Court 333 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001

OPENED BY MISTAKE NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR MIS-DIRECTED MAIL